|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |
| Accuracy metric (R2 and RMSE) of gradient boosting regressor. R2=1 and RMSE=0 for perfect prediction. | |
|  |  |
| Accuracy metric (R2 and RMSE) of bagging regressor. R2=1 and RMSE=0 for perfect prediction. | |
|  |  |
| Accuracy metric (R2 and RMSE) of XGB regressor. R2=1 and RMSE=0 for perfect prediction. | |

Figure S1. Train-test-spliting ratio iteration to find the best train-test split ratio that gives higher accuracy.

Diagram

Description automatically generated

Figure S2. The flowchart of typical cross validation workflow in model training.



Figure S3. K-fold cross validation scheme

The corrosion data was collected from previous published journals, so information extraction wa carried out on relevant information (Table S1). [1-6]

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Table S1. Input parameters | | | |
| No. | Parameter | Information | Source |
| 1. | T | Measurement temperature | Research journal |
| 2. | RH | Relative Humidity |
| 3. | TOW | Time of Wetness |
| 4. | Prec | Precipitation |
| 5. | SO2 | SO2 deposition |
| 6. | H+ | [H+] |
| No. | Property Target | Information |  |
| 1. | CR | Corrosion rate (CR) | Research journal |

The model was tested with new input corrosion data from Panama, Rio Janeiro, Iguazu, Sao Paulo, Bauta, Labastida, dan Mexico (Table S2). [7-13]

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Table S2. New data to be used as validation | | | | | | | |
| Location | T (oC) | RH (%) | TOW (Hours/a) | Prec (mm/a) | SO2 (µg/m3) | [H+] (mg/L) | CR (g/m2) |
| Panama | 26 | 71 | 3000 | 279 | 21.7 | 0 | 7.568 |
| Rio Janeiro | 21.4 | 80 | 4800 | 1252 | 43.5 | 0 | 10.496 |
| Iguazu | 21.2 | 75 | 5500 | 1000 | 0 | 0 | 9.710 |
| Sao Paulo | 19.6 | 75 | 5500 | 1622 | 57.8 | 0 | 8.639 |
| Bauta | 24 | 81 | 5000 | 1590 | 18 | 0 | 8.711 |
| Labastida | 12 | 73 | 5500 | 550 | 3.6 | 0 | 2.213 |
| Mexico | 15.4 | 64 | 1000 | 709 | 18.9 | 0 | 5.855 |

Predictions were made on the corrosion rate data in Jakarta, Bandung, Valparaiso, and Barcelona in Table S3.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Table S3. New data on corrosion rates at several test locations | | | | | | |
| Location | T (oC) | RH (%) | TOW (Hours/a) | Prec (mm/a) | SO2 (µg/m3) | [H+] (mg/L) |
|
| Jakarta, Indonesia | 31 | 65 | 5500 | 100 | 71 | 0 |
| Bandung, Indonesia | 24 | 81 | 3500 | 999 | 17 | 0 |
| Valparaiso, Chile | 17 | 83 | 5600 | 0.1 | 23.6 | 0 |
| Barcelona, Spain | 26 | 78 | 500 | 640 | 1.5 | 0 |
| Tokyo, Japan | 22 | 73 | 29.1 | 1530 | 12.4 | 0 |

EDA was conducted on initial dataset, contains statical information and data quality (Table S4)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Table S4. Information on research datasets used in machine learning | | | | | | | |
|  | **T** | **RH** | **TOW** | **Prec** | **SO2** | **[H+]** | **CR** |
| **count** | 171 | 171 | 171 | 171 | 171 | 171 | 171 |
| **mean** | 11.04 | 72.39 | 3121.36 | 797.73 | 12.31 | 0.01 | 7.25 |
| **std** | 7.96 | 9.54 | 1807.60 | 461.34 | 16.29 | 0.02 | 4.95 |
| **min** | -16.60 | 33 | 0.00 | 17.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.65 |
| **25%** | 7.00 | 67.50 | 2111 | 525.10 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 4.07 |
| **50%** | 10.70 | 75 | 3074 | 679.60 | 6.20 | 0.00 | 6.62 |
| **75%** | 16.35 | 79 | 4378.50 | 974.85 | 13.70 | 0.03 | 9.07 |
| **max** | 27.00 | 98 | 8760 | 2624.00 | 83.30 | 0.19 | 27.00 |

The MAE values ​​from Gradient Boosting Regressor, Bagging Regressor, and XGBoost Regressor. (Table S5)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Table S5. The results of the comparison of predictive atmospheric corrosion rate values with the experimental value | | | | | | | |
| Location | CR actual | CR Validation (g/m2) | | |  | | |
| BR | GB | XGB | BR | GB | XGB |
| Panama | 7.568 | 10.613 | 8.999 | 7.848 | 3.045 | 1.431 | 0.280 |
| Rio Janeiro | 10.496 | 10.342 | 10.672 | 8.544 | 0.154 | 0.176 | 1.952 |
| Iguazu | 9.710 | 9.903 | 10.434 | 7.451 | 0.193 | 0.724 | 2.259 |
| Sao Paulo | 8.639 | 9.485 | 9.794 | 8.255 | 0.846 | 1.155 | 0.384 |
| Bauta | 8.711 | 10.217 | 8.483 | 7.345 | 1.506 | 0.228 | 1.366 |
| Labastida | 2.213 | 4.040 | 4.770 | 3.788 | 1.827 | 2.557 | 1.575 |
| Mexico | 5.855 | 6.126 | 6.236 | 4.688 | 0.271 | 0.381 | 1.167 |
|  | | | | | 7.842 | 6.652 | 8.983 |
| MAE | | | | | 1.120 | 0.950 | 1.283 |
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